

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

Writ Petition No. 8491 of 2026

In the matter of:

An application under article 102 of the Constitution of
the People's Republic of Bangladesh.

AND

In the matter of:

Md. Liakot Ali

... Petitioner

-Versus-

Bangladesh Bank and others

... Respondents

Mr. Sk. Eusuf Rahman, Advocate

...For the petitioner

Mr. Tanim Khan, D.A.G. and

Mr. Dewan Humaun Kabir(Ripon), D.A.G with

Ms. Fatema Yesmin, A.A.G.

Mr. Mehedi Hasan Ronve, A.A.G.

Ms. Elina Chowdhury, A.A.G.

Mr. Sk. Naser Wahed (Shemon), A.A.G. and

Ms. Fahriya Ferdous, A.A.G

... For the respondents

Judgment on 07.09.2026

Present:

Justice Sardar Md. Rashed Jahangir

and

Justice Md. Ziaul Haque

Sardar Md. Rashed Jahangir, J:

On an application under article 102 of the Constitution of the People's
Republic of Bangladesh, the Rule Nisi was issued on 03.08.2026 as follows:

“Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon the respondents
to show cause as to why the inaction of the respondent No. 1
in disposing the petitioner's application dated 24.06.2026
praying for legal redress against his grievance arisen out of
non-extension of the loan repayment period by the respondent
Nos. 2 and 3 (Annexure- 'E') should not be declared to have

been passed without lawful authority and is of no legal effect and as to why a direction should not be given upon the respondents to receive the outstanding amount in 4(four) equal installments in 1(one) year from the petitioner and/or pass such other or further order or orders as may seem fit and proper to this Court.”

It is contended by the petitioner that he submitted a representation before the respondent No. 1, Bangladesh Bank on 24.06.2026 for a proper direction upon the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 (Annexure- ‘E’) to extend the loan repayment period, but the said respondent is sitting idle without disposing of the same.

In such situation, the petitioner moved before this Court and obtained the Rule.

Considering the facts and circumstances and the submission of learned Advocate, we are of the view that the justice would be best served for now, if the respondent No. 1 is directed to dispose of the petitioner’s representation dated 24.06.2026 (Annexure- ‘E’) in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the Rule Nisi is disposed of.

The respondent No. 1 is hereby directed to dispose of the representation dated 24.06.2026 in accordance with law.

No order as to cost.

Md. Ziaul Haque, J:

I agree.