

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

Writ Petition No. 7516 of 2025

In the matter of:

An application under article 102 of the
Constitution of the People's Republic of
Bangladesh.

AND

In the matter of:

Tofayel Ahmaed (Azad)

... Petitioner

-Versus-

Bangladesh and others

... Respondents

Mr. Md. Anamul Hossain, Advocate

... For the petitioners

Mr. A.S.M. Sayem Bhuiyan, Advocate

...For the respondent No. 4

Mr. Tanim Khan, D.A.G. and

Mr. A.M. Jamiul Hoque (Faisal), D.A.G. with

Ms. Fatema Yesmin, A.A.G.

Mr. Mehedi Hasan Ronve, A.A.G.

Ms. Elina Chowdhury, A.A.G. and

Mr. Sk. Naser Wahed (Shemon), A.A.G.

... For the respondents

Order dated: 13.08.2026

Present:

Justice Sardar Md. Rashed Jahangir

and

Justice Md. Ziaul Haque

Sardar Md. Rashed Jahangir, J:

On an application under article 102 of the Constitution of the
People's Republic of Bangladesh, the Rule Nisi was issued on
27.05.2025 as follows:

“Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why the inaction of the respondents in disposing of the representation dated 28.04.2025 (Annexure-F-1) filed by the petitioner addressing to the respondent no.1 in order to avail Exit Facility under Bangladesh Bank's BRPD Circular Letter No. 05 dated 10.03.2025, shall not be declared to have been done without any lawful authority and is of no legal effect, and/or such other or further order or orders be passed as to this court may seem fit and proper.”

On perusal of the Rule as well as Annexure- ‘F-1’ it appears that the petitioner has filed an application before the respondent No. 1 on 28.04.2025 sought for to avail exit facility under Bangladesh Bank’s BRPD Circular Letter No. 05 dated 10.03.2025. It is contended by the petitioner that although the said representation receipt on 23.04.2025 by the office of the respondent No. 1 but the same has not been disposed of in any manner.

Challenging the inaction of the respondent No. 1 the present writ petition has been filed and the Rule has been obtained.

Considering the facts as well as the averments of Annexure-F-1, we are of the view that justice would be best now if the respondent No. 1 is directed to dispose of the representation dated 28.04.2025 (received on 23.04.2026, Annexure- F-1) within 60(sixty) days.....

Accordingly, the Rule Nisi is disposed of.

The respondent No. 1 is hereby directed to dispose of the aforesaid representation accordingly.

Md. Ziaul Haque, J:

I agree.