IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH HIGH COURT DIVISION (SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

Present

Mr. Justice Sikder Mahmudur Razi And Mr. Justice Raziuddin Ahmed

Writ Petition No. 5198 of 2025

Quazi Nasibul Hasan alias SannuPetitioner.

-Versus-

The learned Judge, Artha Rin Adalat, Dhaka and others.

.....Respondents.

Mr. Md. Abu Jafor Shaikh Manik, Advocate
.....For the petitioner.

Mr. ASM Abdur Razzaque, Advocate
......For the respondent No. 2.

The 25th November, 2025

This is an application for discharging the Rule for non-compliance of the order of this court dated 19.03.2025.

Mr. ASM Abdur Razzaque, learned Advocate for the respondent No. 2-applicant submits that at the time of issuance of the Rule as well as interim order the petitioner was directed to pay a sum of Tk.1,00,00,000/- (Taka one crore) to the respondent No.2-applicant as part payment of total outstanding dues by 19.09.2025, failing which the order of stay shall stand recalled and vacated. The petitioner was further directed to pay rest of total outstanding dues to the respondent no.2-applicant by 12(twelve) equal installments, failing which the order of stay shall stand recalled and vacated. The learned advocate submits that in spite of such other the writ petitioner did not deposit any amount of money to this respondent Nos.2- applicant and also did not file any affidavit of compliance as per order of the Court. Therefore, the learned advocate prays for discharging the Rule.

The learned advocate for the petitioner failed controvert the statements made by the learned advocate for the respondent bank.

We have heard the learned Advocate for the respondent No.2 applicant bank. We have also gone through the application, the Rule issuing order and other materials on record.

It appears that at the time of issuance of the Rule, the petitioner was directed to pay Tk.1,00,00,000 (one core) only within 19.09.2025 and the rest outstanding dues within next 12 (twelve) months by 12 (twelve) equal installments to the concerned bank and it was in the order that on failure to comply with the order the Rule shall stand discharged. According to the statement made by the respondent No.2 applicant bank the petitioner failed to comply with the order of this court. As a result, as per the terms of the Rule issuing order, the Rule has been discharged.

In view of the facts and circumstances, we are inclined to allow the instant application and accordingly, the same is allowed.

Resultantly, the Rule is discharged for non-compliance of the court's order dated 19.03.2025 with cost Tk. 10,000 (ten thousand). The interim order passed at the time of issuance of the Rule is hereby recalled and vacated.

The petitioner is directed to pay the said amount in the Account being No.4435401017179 (saving account) maintained in the name of the Registrar General & Marshal of Supreme Court of Bangladesh, in default, the respondent bank will charge the said amount in the loan account of the petitioner and upon recovery of the dues will remit the said amount in the account mentioned above.

Communicate the judgment and order to all concerned, at once.

	(Sikder Mahmudur Razi, J.)
I agree	
	(Raziuddin Ahmed, J.)