

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH  
HIGH COURT DIVISION  
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

**Writ Petition No. 15440 of 2025**

In the matter of:

An application under article 102 of the  
Constitution of the People's Republic of  
Bangladesh.

AND

In the matter of:

Kenpark Bangladesh (Private) Limited  
... Petitioner

-Versus-

Bangladesh Bank and others

... Respondents

Ms. Meherun Nessa Choudhury, Advocate  
...For the respondent No. 3

**Judgment on: 25.02.2026**

Present:

Mr. Justice Sardar Md. Rashed Jahangir

And

Mr. Justice Kazi Waliul Islam

**Sardar Md. Rashed Jahangir, J:**

On an application under article 102 of the Constitution of the  
People's Republic of Bangladesh, Rule Nisi was issued on 30.09.2025 as  
follows:

“Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon respondent  
to show cause as to why the respondent No. 3 in not  
disposing of the application vide Memo No.  
KBPL/AUDIT/2025/03-07 dated 20.08.2025 filed by the  
petitioner (Annexure-‘F’) should not be declared to have  
been made without any lawful authority and is of no legal  
effect and/or to pass such other or further order or orders as  
to this Court may seem fit and proper.”

At the time of issuance of the Rule, the respondent was directed to dispose of the petitioner's application vide Memo No. KBPL/AUDIT/2025/03-07 dated 20.08.2025 within 15(fifteen) days from the date of receipt of the Court's order.

By filing an application Ms. Meherun Nessa Choudhury, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 apprised this Court that on 22.10.2025 the respondent No. 3 has disposed the petitioner's application dated 20.08.2025 (Annexure- 'F'). Hence, the Rule has become infructuous as such she prays for discharging the Rule as being infructuous.

Heard learned Advocate for the respondent No. 3, perused the writ petition together with the application and order sheets.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the Rule is discharged.

No order as to cost.

Communicate the order at once.

**Kazi Waliul Islam, J:**

I agree.