

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF  
BANGLADESH  
HIGH COURT DIVISION  
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

Present:  
Justice Sheikh Abdul Awal  
And  
Justice Md. Mansur Alam

**Writ Petition No. 624 of 2000**

In the matter of:

An application under Article 102 of the  
Constitution of the People's Republic of  
Bangladesh.

And

In the Matter of:

Md. Nurul Huq Sheikh

..... Petitioner.

-Versus-

Government of Bangladesh represented by  
the Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs and  
others.

.....Respondents.

Ms. Purabi Saha, Advocate

..... For the Petitioner

Mr. Md. Bodiuzzaman Tapadar, D.A.G with  
Ms. Salma Sultana (Soma), D.A.G with  
Mr. Md. J.R. Khan Robin, A.A.G with  
Mr. A.B.M. Ibrahim Khalil, A.A.G with  
Mr. Md. Manowarul Islam Uzzal, A.A.G  
... For the Government-Respondents

**Judgment on 07.08.2025.**

**Sheikh Abdul Awal, J:**

On an application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the  
People's Republic of Bangladesh this Rule Nisi was issued calling

upon the respondents to show cause as to why the notice dated 05.02.2000 issued by the Respondent No.6 to the petitioner for appearing in the office of the Respondent No.5 should not be declared to have been made without lawful authority and is of no legal effect and or such other or further order or orders passed as to this Court may seem fit and proper.

Ms. Purabi Saha, the learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner at the very outset submits that in the facts and circumstance of the case, the Rule has lost its force as well as become in-fructuous and as such, the Rule may kindly be discharged as being in-fructuous.

Mr. Md. J.R. Khan Robin, the learned Advocate appearing for the Government-respondent also submits that by passage of long time instant Rule has lost its force.

In the facts and circumstance of the case, we are inclined to discharge the Rule as being in-fructuous.

In the result, the Rule is discharged as being in-fructuous.

Communicate this order at once.

**Md. Mansur Alam, J:**

I agree.