

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

Present:
Justice Sheikh Abdul Awal
And
Justice Md. Mansur Alam

Writ Petition No. 624 of 2000

In the matter of:

An application under Article 102 of the
Constitution of the People's Republic of
Bangladesh.

And

In the Matter of:

Md. Nurul Huq Sheikh

..... Petitioner.

-Versus-

Government of Bangladesh represented by
the Secretary, Ministry of Home Affairs and
others.

.....Respondents.

Ms. Purabi Saha, Advocate

..... For the Petitioner

Mr. Md. Bodiuzzaman Tapadar, D.A.G with
Ms. Salma Sultana (Soma), D.A.G with
Mr. Md. J.R. Khan Robin, A.A.G with
Mr. A.B.M. Ibrahim Khalil, A.A.G with
Mr. Md. Manowarul Islam Uzzal, A.A.G
... For the Government-Respondents

Judgment on 31.07. 2025.

Sheikh Abdul Awal, J:

On an application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the
People's Republic of Bangladesh this Rule Nisi was issued calling

upon the respondents to show cause as to why the notice dated 05.02.2000 issued by the Respondent No.6 to the petitioner for appearing in the office of the Respondent No.5 should not be declared to have been made without lawful authority and is of no legal effect and or such other or further order or orders passed as to this Court may seem fit and proper.

Ms. Purabi Saha, the learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner at the very outset submits that in the facts and circumstance of the case, the Rule has lost its force as well as become in-fructuous and as such, the Rule may kindly be discharged as being in-fructuous.

Mr. Md. J.R. Khan Robin, the learned Advocate appearing for the Government-respondent also submits that by passage of long time instant Rule has lost its force.

In the facts and circumstance of the case, we are inclined to discharge the Rule as being in-fructuous.

In the result, the Rule is discharged as being in-fructuous.

Communicate this order at once.

Md. Mansur Alam, J:

I agree.