

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

Writ Petition No. 69 of 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Article 102 of the
Constitution of the People's Republic of
Bangladesh.

-And-

IN THE MATTER OF :

Md. Mustafizur Rahman, FCA

.....Petitioner

-Versus-

The chariman, Jatyo Muktijodda Council
(JAMUKA) National Scout Bhabon (12 and
12 Level) 60, Anjuman Mofidul Islam
Sarak, Kakrail, Dhaka and others.

.....Respondents.

Mr. Abdus Salam Mamun, Advocate

.....for the petitioner

Mr. Md. Saiefuddin Khalid, DAG with

Ms. Fatema Rshid ,AAG

..for the Respondents

Heard and Judgment on: 03.09.2024

Present:

Ms. Justice Ashish Ranjan Das

&

Ms. Justice Fahmida Quader

Ashish Ranjan Das, J;

Rule nisi was issued at the instance of the petitioner Md.

Mustafizur Rahman, in the following terms:

*“Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon the respondents
to show cause as to why inaction of the respondent No.2 in*

performing his duties in accordance with law should not be declared to have been done without any lawful authority and is of no legal effect and/or pass such other or further order or orders as to this Court may seem fit and proper.”

We have heard the learned lawyer for the petitioners as well as the learned Deputy Attorney General and perused the writ petition.

We are of the view that with an application that the concerned authority, that is respondent no. 2, has already complied with the direction of this Court and the name of the petitioner has been published in the official Gazette dated 23.06.2024 wherein his name occurs in the serial no. 1. Thus it appears that there is no more necessity to go ahead with the rule. Since the order has been complied with.

Under the facts and circumstances, observed herein above the Rule is accordingly disposed of.

However, there is no order as to costs.

Communicate the judgment and order at once.

Fahmida Quader, J:

I agree.

Bashar, B.O.