

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION)

Civil Rule No. 910 (FM) of 2019
(F.M.A.T. No. 1076 of 2019)

IN THE MATTER OF

Md. Siddik Mollah and others

.....Petitioners

-Versus-

1. The Government of Bangladesh and others

.....Opposite parties

2. Sherajul Islam and others

.....Proforma opposite parties

Mr. Mkd. Saidul Alam Khan, Advocate

.....For the petitioners

Mr. Prahlad Debnath, A.A.G with

Mr. Md. Asaduzzaman, A.A. G and

Mr. Kazi Elias-Ur-Rahman, A. A.G

.....For the Opposite parties

Heard on 17.05.23, 18.05.23 and judgment passed on 24.05.2023

Present:

Mr. Justice Kazi Md. Ejarul Haque Akondo

Kazi Md. Ejarul Haque Akondo, J.

Upon an application for injunction filed by the petitioners, a

Rule was issued in the following terms-

*“Records of the case need not be called for. Let a Rule
be issued calling upon opposite party Nos. 1-7 to show cause*

as to why they should not be restrained by an order of injunction from interfering in the peaceful possession of the suit land by the petitioners and/or pass such other or further order or orders as to this Court may seem fit and proper.”

At the time of issuance of the Rule, the parties were directed to maintain a status-quo in respect of possession and position of the suit land for 06(six) months from the date.

Since F.M.A. No. 173 of 2021 arising out of F.M.A.T. No. 1076 of 2019 has been allowed by this Court, which was filed against order No. 17 dated 19.08.2019 passed by the learned District Judge, Barishal rejecting Miscellaneous Case No. 32 of 2019 filed for readmission of Title Appeal No. 173 of 2017 dismissed for default, the instant Rule is disposed of and the parties are hereby directed to maintain status-quo in respect of possession and position of the suit land till hearing of Title Appeal No. 173 of 2017.

Communicate the order at once.