

Civil Revision No. 234 of 2022.

Present:

Mr. Justice S.M. Emdadul Hoque

28.01.2024.

Mr. Md. Rezaul Karim with
Mr. Md. Ehsan Rushdi, Advocate
..... for the petitioner-applicant.

This is an application for extension of status-quo afresh.

Mr. Md. Rezaul Karim, the learned Advocate submits that at the time of issuance of the Rule this Court also passed an order of status-quo for 06 (six) months and subsequently which was further extended for 06 (six) months on 27.11.2022 has been expired on 27.05.2023. But inadvertently the learned Advocate for the petitioner could not mention the case for extension of status-quo within time.

The learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that the clerk of the concerned Advocate had mistakenly could not note the case in his diary as a result the extension slip has not been submitted within time for extension of the order of status-quo.

He further submits that if the prayer for extension has not been extended the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and injury.

The learned Advocate begs apology for not taking step to extend the order of status-quo within time and undertakes not to do such mistake in further.

Heard the learned Advocate and perused the application.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the statements made in paragraph Nos. 2 to 5 of the application, seems to be reasonable and sufficient.

Accordingly, the application is allowed.

Let the order of status-quo granted earlier by this Court be extended till disposal of the Rule.

On the prayer for the learned Advocate for the petitioner let this matter be fixed for hearing.