

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF  
BANGLADESH  
HIGH COURT DIVISION  
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

Present:  
Justice Sheikh Abdul Awal  
And  
Justice Md. Mansur Alam

**Writ Petition No. 10311 of 2019**

In the matter of:

An application under Article 102 of the  
Constitution of the People's Republic of  
Bangladesh.

And

In the Matter of:

Md. Ishak Ali and others.

..... Petitioners.

-Versus-

Government of Bangladesh represented by  
the Secretary, Ministry of Liberation War  
Affairs and others.

.....Respondents.

Mr. Md. Abdul Quddus Tarafder, Advocate  
..... For the Petitioners

Mr. Md. Bodiuzzaman Tapadar, D.A.G  
with

Ms. Salma Sultana (Soma), D.A.G with

Mr. Md. J.R. Khan Robin, A.A.G with

Mr. A.B.M. Ibrahim Khalil, A.A.G with

Mr. Md. Manowarul Islam Uzzal, A.A.G

... For the Government-Respondents

**Judgment on 23.07.2025**

**Sheikh Abdul Awal, J:**

On an application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the  
People's Republic of Bangladesh this Rule Nisi was issued calling  
upon the respondents to show cause as to why the report/decision  
dated 16.05.2017 passed by the Upazila Muktijuddha Jachai Bachai

Committee, Dowlatpur Upazila, District- Kushtia treating/declaring the petitioners as not Freedom Fighters should not be declared illegal, without lawful authority and is of no legal effect.

Mr. Md. Abdul Quddus Tarafder, the learned Advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that all the petitioners are brilliant Freedom Fighter, the purpose of their writ petition has already been done as the petitioners' names have already been included as Freedom Fighter in “বীর মুক্তিযোদ্ধাদের সমন্বিত তালিকা” published by the মুক্তিযুদ্ধ বিষয়ক মন্ত্রণালয় as evidenced by “Annexure-Q” to the supplementary affidavit dated 23.07.2025 in serial Nos. 292, 915, 178, 385, 1014, 934, 666, 332 and 595 respectively and the final gazette notification has already been published (Annexure-Q) and all the petitioners are now getting their honorarium and other benefits and as such, the Rule may be disposed of.

Mr. Md. J.R. Khan Robin, the learned Assistant Attorney General, on the other hand, admits the legal position of this writ petition and does not oppose the prayer of the learned Advocate for the petitioners.

Having heard the learned Advocate for the petitioners and the learned Deputy Attorney General, perused the writ petition and other materials on record.

Considering the facts and circumstance of the case, it appears to us that the purpose of the writ petition has already been done.

In the result, the Rule Nisi is disposed of with the above direction.

Communicate the order at once.

**Md. Mansur Alam, J:**

I agree.