

## District-Tangail

Md. Arshed Ali

.....Accused-petitioner.

-Versus-

The State

.....Opposite-party.

Mr. Md. Jahangir Alam, Advocate

.....For the Accused-petitioner.

Mr. A K M Amin Uddin, D.A.G with

Mr. Md. Asaduzzaman, A.A.G with

Mrs. Afifa Begum Swapna, A.A.G and

Mr. Sarwar Akhtar Masud, A.A.G,

.....For the State-opposite party.

Present:

Mr. Justice Md. Nazrul Islam Talukder.

And

Mr. Justice Kazi Ebadoth Hossain

**06.05.2024.**

Heard the learned Advocate for the accused-petitioner and the learned Deputy Attorney-General for the State-opposite party and perused the application along with prosecution materials annexed therewith.

Records need not be called for.

Let a Rule be issued calling upon the opposite-party to show cause as to why the accused-petitioner shall not be enlarged on bail in Sessions Case No.1147 of 2022 arising out of Modhupur Police Station Case No.02 dated 02.04.2021 corresponding to G.R Case No.50 of 2021 under Sections 302/201/34 of the Penal Code, 1860 now pending in the Court of learned Special Sessions Judge, Tangail and/or pass such other or further order or orders as to this Court may seem fit and proper.

The Rule is made returnable within 4(four) weeks from date.

However, the accused-petitioner shall put in 2(two) sets of requisites within 7 (seven) days, for service of notice of the Rule upon the opposite-party in normal course as well as by registered post with A/D as per HCD Rules.

Office shall not issue any certified copy of this order to the petitioner unless requisites are put in.