২০ বাংলা-দশ সুপ্রীম-কার্ট হাই-কার্ট বিভাগ

> আপীল সম্পর্কিত -ফৌজদারী

-ফৌজদারী ব	গর্যবিধি আই-নর ধারার অধী-নর	রিভি শ ন
	নং	
Md. Jahidul Islam		
		আ-বদনকারী
মাধ্যম Mr. Mohammad Raham	nat Ullah, Advocate	
	বনাম	
The State and others		
		প্রতিপক্ষ
Mr. S.M.Golam Mostofa Mr. A. Mannan,AAG	Tara, D.A.G with	
প্রথম আদালত		
ম্যাজি-ষ্ট্রট,	তারিখ	২০
শাস্তি ও দন্ডা-দশ		
<u>Present</u> :		
Mr. Justice Md. Shohro	owardi	

আপীল আদালত

তারিখ

২০

কাগজপত্র বা আ-দ-	তারিখ	-নাট এবং আ-দশ	স্বাক্ষর		
_শর					
ক্রমিক নং					
	<u>21.05.2024</u>	Records be called for. Lat a Rule be issued calling upon the opposite party to			
		show cause as to why the impugned judgment and order of			
		conviction and sentences dated 11.06.2023 passed by the			
		Sessions Judge, Narayanganj in Criminal Appeal No. 79 of			
		2023 allowing the appeal in part and modifying the judgment			
		and order of conviction and sentences dated 05.09.2022 passed			
		by Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narayanganj in			
		Sonargaon Police Station Case No. 57 dated 18.03.2018			
		corresponding G.R No. 217 of 2018, 7	Г.R No. 616 of 2018		
		convicting the accused under section 27	79 of the Penal Code,		
		1860 and sentencing him to suffer rigorous imprisonment for 01			
		(one) year and to pay a fine of Tk. 1000 (one thousand), in			

default, to suffer simple imprisonment for a period of 01 (one) month and also convicting him under section 304(Kha) of the Penal Code, 1860 and sentencing him thereunder to suffer rigorous imprisonment for 02 (two) years and to pay a fine of Tk.2000, in default, to suffer simple imprisonment for 02 (two) months more both the sentences will run consecutively should not be set aside and/or pass such other order or further order or orders as to this court may seem fit and proper.

The Rule is made returnable within 04(four) weeks from date.

Pending hearing of the Rule the realization of fine be stayed.

The learned Advocate Mr. Mohammad Rahamat Ullah appearing on behalf of the convict petitioner submits that in the meantime the convict petitioner already served about 01(one) year and 06(six) months in custody and there is no early chance of disposal of the Rule. Therefore, he prayed for bail.

Learned Deputy Attorney General Mr. S.M. Golam Mostofa Tara who appeared on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, quantum of sentence and the custody of the convict petitioner, I am inclined to enlarge the convict petitioner on bail.

Let the convict-petitioner, **Md. Jahidul Islam son of Md. Rohim Box** be enlarged on bail to the satisfaction of the Sessions Judge, Narayanganj for a period of 06(six) months from date.

The office is directed to serve the notice upon the opposite parties by usual course as well as registered post with acknowledgment receipt.

The convict petitioner is directed to put in the requisites forthwith.