District-Cumilla

Md. Saidul Islam alias Saidur Rahman alias ShahidulAccused-petitioner.

-Versus-

The State

.....Opposite-party.

Mr. Mohammad Mizanur Rahman, Advocate

.....For the Accused-petitioner.

Mr. A K M Amin Uddin, D.A.G with

Mr. Md. Asaduzzaman, A.A.G with

Mrs. Afifa Begum Swapna, A.A.G and

Mr. Sarwar Akhtar Masud, A.A.G,

......For the State-opposite party.

Present:

Mr. Justice Md. Nazrul Islam Talukder.

And

Mr. Justice Kazi Ebadoth Hossain

<u>06.05.2024.</u>

Heard the learned Advocate for the accusedpetitioner and the learned Deputy Attorney-General for the State-opposite party and perused the application along with prosecution materials annexed therewith. Records need not be called for.

Let a Rule be issued calling upon the opposite-party to show cause as to why the accused-petitioner should not be enlarged on bail in Cumilla Sadar Dakhin Police Station Case No.49 dated 28.02.2024 corresponding to G.R Case No.84 of 2024 under Sections 19A/19f of the Arms Act, 1878 now pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Cumilla and/or pass such other or further order or orders as to this Court may seem fit and proper.

The Rule is made returnable within 4(four) weeks from date.

However, the accused-petitioner shall put in 2(two) sets of requisites within 7 (seven) days, for service of notice of the Rule upon the opposite-party in normal course as well as by registered post with A/D as per HCD Rules.

Office shall not issue any certified copy of this order to the petitioner unless requisites are put in.