

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

Writ Petition No. 6612 of 2021.

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.

-And-

IN THE MATTER OF:

Nivarani Sarker and others

...Petitioners

-V E R S U S-

Government of Bangladesh, represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Law, Justice and Parliamentary Affairs, Bangladesh Secretariat, Dhaka and others.

.....Respondents

No one appears

.....For the petitioners

Mr. Subrata Chowdhury, Senior Advocate with Mr. Mominul Islam, Advocates

...For the respondents No.3-5.

Mr. Sk. Shaifuzzaman, DAG with

Ms. Rehana Sultana, AAG and

Mr. Md. Samiul Alam Sarkar, AAG and

Mr. Ashique Rubaiat, AAG and

Ms. Zulfia Akhter, AAGs

.... For the respondents

Present:

Mr. Justice K.M. Kamrul Kader

And

Mr. Justice Khizir Hayat

Heard and Judgment On: 16.11.2023.

K. M. Kamrul Kader, J :

On an application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh this Rule Nisi was issued on 06.09.2021, in the following terms:

“Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why the impugned judgment and decree dated 18.03.2021 (decree signed on 25.03.2021) passed by the learned Land Survey Tribunal, Netrokona (respondent No.2) in Land Survey Tribunal Case No.1444 of 2015 (Annexure-B and B-1) should not be declared to have been passed without

lawful authority and is of no legal effect and/or such other or further order or orders pass as to this Court may seem fit and proper.”

This Rule Nisi was issued on the ground that the Government has not yet been established any Land Survey Appellate Tribunal under Section 145B of the SAT Act. Due to this reason, the petitioners have failed to redress their grievances by filing appeal against the order, judgment and decree passed by the concerned Tribunal.

Mr. Sk. Shaifuzzaman, learned Deputy Attorney General submits that the government has already been published Gazette for establishing Land Survey Appellate Tribunal in different districts and the petitioners of the writ petition may redress their grievances by filing appeal, before the respective Land Survey Appellate Tribunal of the respective districts and as such, he prays for discharging the Rule.

It appears that in the meantime the Government has already established the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal in the respective districts vide Gazette notification dated 11.07.2023 to hear the appeal arising out of judgment and decree and/or order of the Land Survey Tribunal in different districts. Considering the facts and circumstances of the matter, we are of the view that the petitioners may redress their grievances by filing appeal, before the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal, [Netrokona](#) and as such, the Rule issued by this Court has become infructuous.

In the result, the Rule is discharged without any order as to costs. The petitioners are at liberty to prefer appeal within 03(three) months from the date of receipt of the order, if any, before the Land Survey

Appellate Tribunal and in preferring such appeal delay occurred, if any, shall be condoned.

In the meantime, the order of *status quo* granted at the time of issuance of the Rule shall continue till filling of the appeal before the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal or 03(three) months from the date of receipt of this order, whichever is earlier.

Communicate the judgment and order at once.

Khizir Hayat, J:

I agree.