# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH HIGH COURT DIVISION (SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

## Writ Petition No. 6585 of 2021.

#### IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh.

-And-

### IN THE MATTER OF:

Samiron Nesa Bewya alias Mst. Samiron Khatun. ...Petitioner

## **-VERSUS-**

Govt. of Bangladesh, represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Land, Bangladesh Secretariat, Dhaka and others.

.....Respondents

Mr. Mohammad Saifuddin Khokon, Advocate

.....For the petitioner

Mr. Rowshan Alam Khan, Advocate

...For the respondents No.1-2.

Mr. Sk. Shaifuzzaman, DAG with

Ms. Rehana Sultana, AAG and

Mr. Md. Samiul Alam Sarkar, AAG and

Mr. Ashique Rubaiat, AAG and

Ms. Zulfia Akhter, AAGs

.... For the respondents

#### **Present:**

Mr. Justice K.M. Kamrul Kader
And
Mr. Justice Khizir Hayat

### Heard and Judgment On: 16.11.2023.

## K. M. Kamrul Kader, J:

On an application under Article 102 of the Constitution of the People's Republic of Bangladesh this Rule Nisi was issued on 06.09.2021, in the following terms:

"Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon the respondents to show cause as to why the impugned order No.34 dated 10.02.2021 passed by the respondent No.3, learned Judge (Joint District Judge), Land Survey Tribunal, Pabna in Land Survey Tribunal Suit No.972 of 2014 (Annexure-L) rejecting an application for stay should not be declared to have been passed without lawful authority and is of no legal

effect and/or such other or further order or orders pass as to this Court may seem fit and proper."

This Rule Nisi was issued on the ground that the Government has not yet been established any Land Survey Appellate Tribunal under Section 145B of the SAT Act. Due to this reason, the petitioner has failed to redress her grievances by filing appeal against the order, judgment and decree passed by the concerned Tribunal.

Mr. Sk. Shaifuzzaman, learned Deputy Attorney General submits that the government has already been published Gazette for establishing Land Survey Appellate Tribunal in different districts and the petitioner of the writ petition may redress her grievance by filing appeal, before the respective Land Survey Appellate Tribunal of the respective district and as such, he prays for discharging the Rule.

It appears that in the meantime the Government has already established the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal in the respective districts vide Gazette notification dated 11.07.2023 to hear the appeal arising out of judgment and decree and/or order of the Land Survey Tribunal in different districts. Considering the facts and circumstances of the matter, we are of the view that the petitioner may redress her grievance by filing appeal, before the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal, Pabna and as such, the Rule issued by this Court has became infructuous.

In the result, the Rule is discharged without any order as to costs. The petitioner is at liberty to prefer appeal within 03(three) months from date, if any, before the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal, Pabna and in preferring such appeal delay occurred, if any, shall be condoned.

3

In the meantime, the order of *stay* granted at the time of issuance of the Rule shall continue till filling of the appeal before the Land Survey Appellate Tribunal or 03(three) months from the date of receipt of this order, whichever is earlier.

Communicate the judgment and order at once.

## Khizir Hayat, J:

I agree.