

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH  
HIGH COURT DIVISION  
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)

**Writ Petition No. 2484 of 2019.**

In the matter of:

An application under article 102 (2) of the  
Constitution of the People's Republic of  
Bangladesh.

-And-

**In the matter of:**

Md. Shamsul Huda Chowdhurey  
..... Petitioner

-Versus-

Bangladesh represented by the Secretary,  
Ministry of Land and others.  
..... Respondents

None appears

..... For the petitioner  
Mr. Monishankar Sarkar, Advocate  
. . . For the respondent No.7.

Present:

Mr. Justice J. B. M. Hassan  
and  
Mr. Justice Razik Al Jalil

Heard and Judgment on 09.05.2024.

**J. B. M. Hassan, J.**

The petitioner obtained the Rule Nisi in the following terms:

“Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon the respondent to show cause as to why the inaction of the respondents No.2 and 3, in transferring Mr. Kutub Uddin, Union Assistant Bhumi Officer of No.3 Union Bhumi Office, Baniyachong Upazila who has been working in the same station for about 15/16 years should not be declared to have been passed without lawful authority and is of no legal effect and/or pass such other or further order or orders as to this Court may seem fit and proper.”

None appears to represent the petitioner when the matter is taken up for hearing.

Mr. Monishankar Sarkar, learned Advocate for the respondent No. 7 submits that the petitioner obtained the Rule Nisi challenging inaction of the respondents in transferring the respondent No.7 from Union Assistant Bhumi Officer of No.3 Union Bhumi Office, Baniyachong Upazila under District-Habigonj. Now the respondent No.7 is serving at Montala Union Bhumi Office, Madabpur, Habiganj being transferred on 02.02.2020. In the circumstances, the Rule Nisi has become infructuous.

Considering the above facts, the Rule Nisi is discharged.

Communicate a copy of this judgment and order to the respondents at once.

**Razik Al Jalil, J**

I agree.