

13.03.2024

Present:

Mr. Justice Mamnoon Rahman

Mr. Md. Nurul Amin, Adv.

...For the petitioner-appellant.

Mr. Mohammad Jakir Hossain, Adv.

...For the complainant-opposite party No. 2

Mr. Md. Taifoor Kabir, DAG with

Mr. Md. Lokman Hossain, AAG

Mr. Md. Hatem Ali, AAG

.....For the State.

The parties have filed joint application for compromise on the ground that they settled the matter amicably.

I have heard the learned Advocates for the petitioner-appellant as well as complainant-opposite party No. 2 and perused the application for compromise.

It appears that the instant revision was preferred by the convict-appellant-petitioner challenging the judgment and order of conviction and sentence passed by the trial court and subsequently affirmed by the appellate court in a proceeding relates to Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

It further appears that both the parties intend to amicable settlement the matter and executed a deed of agreement as evident in Annexure-D of the application for compromise. Since the parties agreed to settle the matter amicably, I am inclined to allow the application.

Accordingly, the instant application is allowed and the rule be disposed of in terms of the application for compromise. The judgment and order of conviction

Criminal Revision No. 955 of 2018

and sentence dated 11.02.2018 passed by the court below is hereby set aside.

The respondent-opposite party No. 2 is at liberty to withdraw the money from the court below as deposited by the convict-appellant-petitioner forthwith.

Send down the L.C. Records, if any, to the concerned court below with a copy of this order at once.

(Mamnoon Rahman,J:)