

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF BANGLADESH
HIGH COURT DIVISION
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

Writ Petition No. 4549 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

An application under Article 102 of the
Constitution of the People's Republic of
Bangladesh.

-And-

IN THE MATTER OF :

Kailash Chandra Roy

.....Petitioner

-Versus-

Bangladesh, represented by the Secretary, Ministry
of Education, Government of People's Republic of
Bangladesh, Bangladesh Secretariat, Ramna Dhaka
and others.

.....Respondents.

Mr. Md. Moinul Haider Chowdhury, Advocate

.....for the petitioner

Mr. Md. Saiefuddin Khalid, DAG with

Ms. Fatema Rshid ,AAG

..for the Respondents

Heard and Judgment on: 29.08.2024

Present:

Ms. Justice Ashish Ranjan Das

&

Ms. Justice Fahmida Quader

Ashish Ranjan Das, J;

Rule nisi was issued at the instance of the petitioner Kailash Chandra

Roy in the following terms:

*“Let a Rule Nisi be issued calling upon the respondents to show
cause as to why the respondents should not be directed to include the
name of the petitioner in the Monthly Pay Order (MPO) list and give
him the government allowances along with his salary with
retrospective effect since the date of his obtaining NTRCA registration*

certificate and/or pass such other or further order or orders as to this Court may seem fit and proper.”

Short fact is that the petitioner was appointed as a Lecturer of English at Chengthi Hajra Danga College, Debigonj, Panchagar (Annexure-B(2)) with effect from 27.06.2006. Other teachers of his college were enlisted into the monthly payment order while this petitioner was left out. Finally he sent a representation to the Director General of Secondary and High Education, Dhaka on 10.12.2017 (Annexure-E). But the responsible and competent authority as yet gave no reply rather for long sleeping over the matter.

The learned lawyer for the petitioner MD. Mainul Haidar Chowdhury, submits that the purpose of justice will be served if the respondent no.2 the Director General of Secondary and High Education, Ramna, Dhaka is directed to dispose of the petitioner's application (Annexure-E) in accordance with law expeditiously and the learned Deputy Attorney General also does not oppose.

Hence, the Director General of Secondary and High Education, Ramna, Dhaka directed to positively dispose of the application of the petitioner (Annexure-E) dated 10.12.2017 within 30 days from the date of receipt of the judgment and order.

Accordingly, the Rule is disposed of.

No order as to costs.

Fahmida Quader, J:

I agree.

Bashar, B.O.